

Economic Impact of the EU Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF)

Javier Izaguirre Goicoechea University of Seville

Abstract

The Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) is the European Union's long-term budget, setting the financial priorities and limits for a period of seven years. This paper explores the social and economic impacts of the MFF, particularly its role in shaping EU policies and funding allocations across various sectors. Through a review of literature and analysis of policy outcomes, the study identifies the positive and negative consequences of the MFF on economic growth, social cohesion, and regional development within the EU. The paper concludes by discussing areas for future research, especially regarding the MFF's adaptability to emerging challenges such as climate change, technological advancements, and geopolitical uncertainties.

Keywords: Multiannual Financial Framework, MFF, EU budget, social impact, economic impact, regional development, European Union, sustainability.

1. Introduction

The Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) represents a cornerstone of the European Union's (EU) financial governance, embodying not only the EU's fiscal planning but also its broader political and strategic ambitions. Established formally in 1988, the MFF was conceived as a means to bring order and predictability to the EU's budgeting process, setting out the maximum annual expenditure ceilings across various policy domains over a fixed period of seven years. This structured approach allows the EU to manage its financial resources with foresight and consistency, ensuring that its diverse policy initiatives—ranging from agricultural subsidies to innovation funding, and from regional development to external relations—are adequately financed. However, the MFF's significance extends beyond mere budgetary control; it is a reflection of the EU's evolving priorities and its vision for the future of Europe, shaping the direction of policy and investment across the Union.

At its essence, the MFF serves to balance the diverse and often competing interests of the EU's 27 member states, each with its own economic circumstances, political agendas,

and social challenges. The EU, as a complex and multifaceted political entity, faces the continual challenge of addressing these varied interests while promoting common goals such as economic convergence, social cohesion, and environmental sustainability. The MFF is the instrument through which these goals are operationalized, providing the financial underpinning that allows the EU to pursue policies aimed at reducing regional disparities, promoting inclusive growth, and addressing transnational issues such as climate change and digital transformation. By setting multi-year budgetary ceilings, the MFF offers a level of financial predictability and stability that is crucial for the successful implementation of long-term projects and for meeting the EU's financial commitments over time.

Over the decades, the MFF has undergone significant evolution, reflecting the changing political, economic, and social landscapes of Europe and the world. Each iteration of the MFF responds to new challenges and opportunities, adjusting the EU's financial priorities in line with broader strategic objectives. For instance, the MFF for 2021-2027 introduces a pronounced focus on climate action, digital transformation, and resilience to crises. This pivot is a response to the growing recognition of climate change as an existential threat, the rapid pace of technological advancement, and the lessons learned from recent crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2021-2027 MFF allocates substantial resources to these areas, signaling a shift in the EU's priorities towards ensuring that the Union is not only prepared to address current challenges but is also positioned to thrive in a rapidly changing global environment. The increased funding for climate action, for example, is aligned with the EU's commitment to achieving climate neutrality by 2050 under the European Green Deal, while investments in digitalization are intended to bolster the EU's competitiveness in the global digital economy.

However, the MFF is not without its critics. The process of negotiating each new MFF is notoriously complex and often contentious, requiring the unanimous agreement of all member states. This requirement for consensus means that the MFF negotiations are frequently marked by intense political bargaining, with member states seeking to secure favorable outcomes for their national interests. These protracted negotiations can lead to compromises that dilute the effectiveness of the MFF, as resources may be spread thinly across too many priorities, or important initiatives may receive less funding than necessary. Furthermore, the rigidity of the MFF once it is agreed upon poses additional

challenges. The fixed expenditure ceilings are difficult to adjust mid-term, even in the face of unexpected events or changing priorities. This lack of flexibility can hinder the EU's ability to respond swiftly and effectively to new crises or to reallocate resources in response to shifting needs. For example, the economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic required significant adjustments to EU spending, leading to the creation of the NextGenerationEU recovery instrument, which had to be negotiated as an adjunct to the MFF rather than within its established framework.

Another point of criticism is the alignment—or lack thereof—between the MFF's financial allocations and the EU's strategic objectives. While the MFF is designed to provide a stable financial foundation for the EU's policies, there is ongoing debate about whether the distribution of resources within the MFF adequately reflects the Union's most pressing needs. For instance, despite the increased focus on areas such as climate action and digital transformation in recent frameworks, significant portions of the MFF continue to be allocated to traditional policy areas like agriculture and regional development. While these areas remain important, there is concern that this allocation may come at the expense of investing in new and emerging priorities that are crucial for the EU's future competitiveness and sustainability. Additionally, the effectiveness of the MFF in promoting economic convergence across the EU is a subject of debate. Although one of the MFF's key objectives is to reduce disparities between the EU's richer and poorer regions, persistent inequalities remain, suggesting that the financial instruments available under the MFF may not be fully effective in achieving this goal.

The MFF also plays a critical role in supporting the EU's broader geopolitical and external relations agenda. Through its external action instruments, the MFF provides funding for the EU's international cooperation and development efforts, as well as its humanitarian aid and foreign policy initiatives. These allocations are crucial for maintaining the EU's role as a global actor, capable of responding to international crises, promoting stability and development in its neighborhood, and advancing its values and interests on the global stage. However, the scale and effectiveness of these external action instruments have been questioned, particularly in light of the growing geopolitical challenges facing the EU. There is an ongoing debate about whether the resources allocated under the MFF are sufficient to enable the EU to fulfill its ambitions as a global leader, especially in a world where other major powers are increasingly assertive.

This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the social and economic impacts of the MFF, focusing on how this critical financial framework has shaped EU policies and contributed to the development of the region. The analysis will examine the MFF's role in promoting economic convergence among member states, enhancing social cohesion across the Union, and supporting regional development initiatives. By exploring these aspects, the paper aims to assess the effectiveness of the MFF in achieving its intended outcomes and to identify areas where the framework may need to be reformed or improved. Additionally, the paper will address the various challenges and criticisms associated with the MFF's implementation, including the complexity and length of the negotiation process, the rigidity of the framework, and the potential misalignment of financial allocations with the EU's strategic objectives.

Looking forward, the paper will also consider the future of the MFF in light of ongoing and emerging challenges facing the EU. As the Union continues to evolve in response to new global dynamics, it is likely that the MFF will need to adapt to ensure that it remains an effective tool for achieving the EU's long-term goals. This may involve rethinking the distribution of resources within the MFF, enhancing its flexibility to allow for quicker adjustments to changing circumstances, and ensuring that the framework is more closely aligned with the EU's strategic vision for the future. By providing a detailed analysis of the MFF's impacts and the challenges it faces, this paper aims to contribute to the ongoing debate about the future of the EU's financial governance and offer insights that can inform future reforms to enhance the effectiveness of the MFF in supporting the EU's economic, social, and geopolitical objectives.

2. Literature Review

The Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) of the European Union (EU) has been a subject of extensive research and analysis across multiple academic disciplines, reflecting its importance as a financial, political, economic, and social instrument. The literature spans various aspects of the MFF, from its role in EU governance and budgetary negotiations to its broader impact on regional development, social cohesion, and economic convergence within the EU. This section synthesizes the key contributions and debates within the literature, providing a comprehensive overview of how the MFF has been studied and understood.

2.1 Financial and Political Dimensions

A significant body of literature focuses on the financial and political dimensions of the MFF, particularly its function in EU governance and the complex processes involved in its negotiation and implementation. Scholars such as Begg (2017) and Hagemann (2020) have provided detailed accounts of the MFF's negotiation dynamics, emphasizing the intricate balancing act between the interests of net contributors—those member states that contribute more to the EU budget than they receive—and net beneficiaries, who rely on substantial EU funding for development and social programs.

Begg (2017) explores the MFF as a reflection of the EU's broader political landscape, highlighting how negotiations often mirror the power dynamics and competing national interests within the Union. The process of reaching an agreement on the MFF involves intense bargaining, where wealthier member states typically push for fiscal restraint and a focus on competitiveness, while less affluent states advocate for robust cohesion policies and regional development funds. This negotiation process is not only about budgetary allocations but also about the broader political priorities and strategic direction of the EU, making the MFF a critical tool for understanding the Union's internal political economy.

Hagemann (2020) adds to this by discussing the role of the MFF in providing stability and predictability within the EU's financial architecture. The MFF, by setting expenditure ceilings for different policy areas over a seven-year period, ensures that the EU can plan and execute its policies with a degree of financial certainty. This is crucial for the implementation of long-term projects, particularly in areas like infrastructure, research, and regional development. However, Hagemann also critiques the rigidity of the MFF, noting that the fixed nature of the budget can limit the EU's ability to respond flexibly to emerging challenges, such as economic crises or geopolitical shifts. This tension between stability and flexibility is a recurring theme in the literature, reflecting the challenges inherent in managing a multi-year financial framework within a dynamic political and economic environment.

2.2 Economic Impacts

The economic impact of the MFF is another major focus of scholarly research, particularly regarding its role in promoting economic convergence and reducing regional disparities within the EU. Cohesion policy, which is largely funded through the MFF, is central to these discussions. Scholars such as Pasimeni (2018) and Cipriani (2019) have examined the effectiveness of the MFF in fostering balanced economic growth across the Union, with a particular emphasis on the less developed regions that benefit most from EU funding.

Pasimeni (2018) provides a comprehensive analysis of how the MFF has contributed to economic convergence within the EU. He argues that the MFF, through its support for cohesion policy, has played a crucial role in narrowing the economic disparities between richer and poorer regions. By funding infrastructure projects, innovation initiatives, and job creation programs, the MFF has helped to promote more balanced economic development across the EU. However, Pasimeni also notes that the impact of the MFF is uneven, with some regions benefiting more than others, and that persistent inequalities remain, particularly in areas that have been slower to recover from economic downturns.

Cipriani (2019) extends this analysis by exploring the broader macroeconomic implications of the MFF. He argues that the MFF acts as a stabilizing force within the EU, providing a steady flow of investment that supports economic growth and helps to mitigate the impact of economic shocks. This is particularly important in times of crisis, such as the financial crisis of 2008 or the more recent COVID-19 pandemic, when the MFF's role in maintaining economic stability becomes even more critical. However, Cipriani also highlights the limitations of the MFF's rigid structure, which can make it difficult to reallocate resources in response to rapidly changing economic conditions. He advocates for greater flexibility within the MFF to allow the EU to respond more effectively to future economic challenges.

2.3 Social Impacts

The social impacts of the MFF, particularly in terms of social inclusion, employment, and education, have also been extensively studied. Researchers like Petzold (2021) and McCann (2019) have focused on how the MFF supports social cohesion across the EU, with a particular emphasis on the role of the European Social Fund (ESF) and other MFF-funded programs in addressing social inequalities.

Petzold (2021) discusses the importance of the ESF in promoting social inclusion and improving employment opportunities within the EU. The ESF, which is one of the primary instruments of the MFF for addressing social issues, funds a wide range of initiatives aimed at improving workforce skills, promoting equal opportunities, and reducing social exclusion. Petzold argues that the ESF has been particularly effective in supporting vulnerable groups, such as young people, the long-term unemployed, and those at risk of poverty and social exclusion. These initiatives not only contribute to social cohesion but also help to create a more inclusive labor market, which is essential for the long-term sustainability of the EU's economic and social model.

McCann (2019) takes a broader view, examining how the MFF contributes to improving the overall quality of life for EU citizens. He argues that the MFF, through its support for a wide range of social programs, plays a critical role in addressing the root causes of social inequality, such as lack of access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities. By investing in these areas, the MFF helps to create a more equitable society, where all citizens have the opportunity to participate fully in the economic and social life of the EU. However, McCann also points out that the effectiveness of these programs depends heavily on how they are implemented at the national and regional levels, suggesting that there is a need for better coordination and delivery of MFF-funded social initiatives to maximize their impact.

2.4 Critical Perspectives and Future Directions

While much of the literature on the MFF is positive, recognizing its crucial role in supporting the EU's economic and social development, there are also critical perspectives that question its overall effectiveness and efficiency. Some scholars argue that the MFF's complexity and rigidity can hinder its ability to address emerging challenges effectively. The requirement for unanimous agreement among member states often leads to lengthy negotiations and compromises that dilute the impact of the framework's financial allocations.

For instance, Cipriani (2019) critiques the MFF for its lack of flexibility, which can make it difficult for the EU to respond swiftly to new challenges or shifts in policy priorities. The fixed expenditure ceilings, while providing stability, can also limit the EU's ability to reallocate resources in response to unforeseen events, such as economic crises or

natural disasters. This rigidity can result in a budget that is more reflective of past priorities than of current needs, potentially limiting the EU's ability to adapt to changing circumstances.

Moreover, some scholars have highlighted the need for reform in the MFF to ensure that it remains an effective tool for achieving the EU's long-term goals. As the EU faces new challenges, such as climate change, digital transformation, and rising geopolitical tensions, there is a growing consensus that the MFF must evolve to better address these issues. This includes enhancing the flexibility of the MFF to allow for more adaptive responses to emerging challenges and ensuring that the distribution of resources within the framework is more closely aligned with the EU's strategic objectives.

Looking ahead, the literature suggests that the MFF will need to undergo significant reforms to remain effective in a rapidly changing world. This may involve rethinking the way the MFF is structured, with a focus on increasing its flexibility and responsiveness, as well as ensuring that it is better aligned with the EU's long-term goals. As the EU continues to navigate a complex and uncertain global environment, the MFF will play a critical role in shaping the Union's future, making it a key area of focus for scholars, policymakers, and practitioners alike.

In conclusion, the literature on the MFF provides a rich and nuanced understanding of its financial, political, economic, and social dimensions. While the MFF has played a crucial role in supporting the EU's development over the past decades, there is broad agreement among scholars that further reforms are necessary to enhance its effectiveness and ensure that it can meet the challenges of the future. As the EU continues to evolve, the MFF will remain a central component of its governance and policy framework, shaping the Union's trajectory for years to come.

3. Methodology

This study employs a multi-dimensional qualitative research methodology to thoroughly examine the social and economic impacts of the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) within the European Union (EU). The complexity and scope of the MFF necessitate a detailed, multi-layered approach that integrates various sources of data and analytical techniques to provide a comprehensive understanding of its role in shaping EU policies

and its broader implications for economic growth, social cohesion, and regional development across the member states.

3.1 Research Design

The research design is centered around a systematic exploration of the MFF's impact, utilizing an integrated approach that combines a literature review, analysis of policy documents, statistical data examination, and case studies. This multi-pronged strategy ensures a thorough examination of the MFF's dimensions, allowing the study to address the nuanced ways in which the MFF influences different regions and policy areas within the EU.

1. Literature Review:

- The study begins with an exhaustive review of academic literature, focusing on the MFF's financial, political, economic, and social dimensions. The literature review provides the theoretical foundation for the research, identifying key debates, challenges, and gaps in existing studies. By synthesizing findings from a broad range of sources, including books, journal articles, and policy papers, the review lays the groundwork for understanding the broader context of the MFF's implementation and its evolving role in EU governance.
- This phase also involves a critical evaluation of various theoretical frameworks that have been applied to the study of the MFF, such as public choice theory, intergovernmentalism, and European integration theory. By engaging with these frameworks, the research situates the MFF within the broader discourse on EU policymaking and budgetary governance.

2. Policy Document Analysis:

- The second component of the research involves a detailed analysis of EU policy documents, including official MFF proposals, legislative texts, budgetary frameworks, and reports from EU institutions such as the European Commission, European Parliament, and European Court of Auditors. This document analysis is crucial for understanding the legal and procedural aspects of the MFF, as well as the specific objectives and priorities that guide its implementation.

- The analysis pays special attention to the evolution of these documents over successive MFF cycles, examining how changes in the EU's political landscape, economic conditions, and external challenges have influenced the allocation of resources and the strategic focus of the MFF. Key areas of focus include the increasing emphasis on climate action, digital transformation, and crisis resilience in the 2021-2027 MFF.
- The document analysis also includes a review of national-level responses and adaptations to the MFF, exploring how member states align their domestic policies with EU priorities and the challenges they face in utilizing MFF funds effectively.

3. Statistical Data Analysis:

- Complementing the qualitative analysis, the study incorporates an examination of statistical data related to EU funding allocations, economic performance indicators, and social outcomes. This quantitative analysis is essential for assessing the tangible impacts of the MFF on economic growth, regional development, and social cohesion. Data sources include Eurostat, national statistical agencies, and EU databases on cohesion policy and other funding programs.
- The statistical analysis focuses on key indicators such as GDP per capita, employment rates, regional development indices, poverty and social exclusion rates, and innovation metrics. By correlating these indicators with MFF funding allocations, the study aims to identify patterns and trends that illustrate the MFF's effectiveness in achieving its intended objectives.
- In addition to aggregate data, the analysis delves into disaggregated data at the regional and sectoral levels to capture the differentiated impacts of the MFF across the EU. This approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of how MFF funds are distributed and utilized in various contexts, highlighting disparities and areas of improvement.

3.2 Case Studies

To provide concrete examples of the MFF's impact, the research includes detailed case studies of selected EU member states. These case studies are chosen to represent a diverse

cross-section of the EU, taking into account factors such as geographical location, economic development level, and the extent of reliance on EU funding.

1. Selection Criteria:

- The case studies include a mix of both net beneficiary and net contributor countries to reflect the varying experiences and challenges faced by different member states. For example, the study might examine Poland, a major recipient of cohesion funds, alongside Germany, a significant net contributor to the EU budget. This comparative approach enables the research to explore how the MFF affects different types of economies and the specific ways in which funds are utilized to address national priorities.
- Additionally, the case studies may include regions within member states that are particularly illustrative of the MFF's impact, such as those that have benefited significantly from cohesion policy or have faced challenges in effectively absorbing EU funds.

2. Data Collection:

- Each case study is developed using a combination of sources, including national reports, EU monitoring documents, interviews with key stakeholders (such as policymakers, regional authorities, and beneficiaries of EU-funded programs), and secondary data analysis. The interviews are particularly valuable for gaining insights into the practical challenges of implementing MFF-funded initiatives and understanding the local context in which these initiatives operate.
- The case studies also draw on project-level data to illustrate the specific outcomes of MFF-funded programs, such as infrastructure projects, research and innovation initiatives, and social inclusion programs. By examining these projects in detail, the study aims to highlight both the successes and the limitations of the MFF in promoting economic and social development.

3. Analytical Framework:

- The case studies are analyzed using a framework that examines the allocation and utilization of MFF funds, the outcomes achieved in terms of economic growth, social cohesion, and regional development, and the specific challenges encountered during implementation. This framework

helps to identify patterns across different member states and to draw conclusions about the factors that influence the effectiveness of the MFF.

- The analysis also considers the role of national and regional governance structures in shaping the outcomes of MFF-funded programs. By comparing the experiences of different member states, the study seeks to identify best practices and lessons learned that could inform future MFF cycles.

3.3 Thematic Analysis

The data collected through the literature review, policy document analysis, and case studies is subjected to thematic analysis to identify key themes and trends that emerge from the research. Thematic analysis allows for the systematic coding and categorization of qualitative data, making it possible to uncover underlying patterns and relationships.

1. Coding and Theme Development:

- The coding process begins with the identification of broad themes related to the MFF's impact, such as economic convergence, social inclusion, regional development, and environmental sustainability. As the analysis progresses, these themes are refined and subdivided into more specific categories, such as the effectiveness of cohesion policy, the role of the European Social Fund (ESF) in addressing unemployment, and the challenges of implementing climate-related initiatives.
- The thematic analysis also explores cross-cutting issues, such as the interaction between national and EU-level policies, the influence of external factors (e.g., global economic trends, geopolitical developments) on MFF implementation, and the role of stakeholder engagement in shaping outcomes.

2. Interpretation of Findings:

- The identified themes are interpreted in the context of the research questions, with an emphasis on understanding how the MFF contributes to or hinders the achievement of the EU's strategic goals. This interpretation is informed by both the quantitative data (such as economic indicators) and the qualitative insights gained from the case studies and literature.

- The analysis also considers the broader implications of the findings for EU governance and policymaking, particularly in relation to the balance between solidarity and competitiveness within the Union. By synthesizing the thematic findings with the insights from the case studies and statistical analysis, the study aims to provide a holistic understanding of the MFF's role in the EU's development trajectory.

3.4 Addressing Criticisms and Challenges

A critical component of the methodology involves assessing the criticisms and challenges associated with the MFF's implementation. The study systematically examines the criticisms raised in the literature, such as the MFF's perceived rigidity, complexity, and the lengthy negotiation processes required to reach consensus among member states. These critiques are analyzed in relation to the findings from the case studies and statistical data, allowing the research to offer a balanced view of the MFF's strengths and weaknesses.

1. Critique Evaluation:

- The evaluation of critiques involves a detailed analysis of the specific issues identified, such as the MFF's lack of flexibility or the disparities in funding allocation. This analysis is informed by both theoretical perspectives and practical evidence from the case studies, providing a comprehensive understanding of the challenges facing the MFF.
- The study also considers the political and institutional factors that contribute to these challenges, such as the power dynamics between net contributors and net beneficiaries, the influence of the European Parliament and the Council in shaping the MFF, and the impact of intergovernmental negotiations on the final budgetary outcomes.

2. Comparative Analysis:

- Where relevant, the study compares the MFF with other multi-annual financial frameworks or budgetary processes in similar supranational organizations or federal systems. This comparative analysis helps to contextualize the MFF's challenges and to identify potential areas for reform.

- The comparison also highlights alternative approaches to multi-annual budgeting and resource allocation that could inform future iterations of the MFF. For instance, the study might explore how other federations manage the trade-offs between national sovereignty and supranational solidarity in their budgetary processes, drawing lessons for the EU.

The final stage of the methodology involves synthesizing the findings from the various analytical components to draw overarching conclusions about the MFF's social and economic impacts. The synthesis process integrates the insights from the thematic analysis, case studies, and critique evaluation, providing a coherent narrative that addresses the research questions and contributes to the broader academic debate.

4. Discussion

4.1 Economic Impact

The Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) has been a cornerstone in advancing economic development across the European Union (EU), particularly through its strategic investments in cohesion policy, research, innovation, and agricultural development. Cohesion policy, which is predominantly funded through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and the Cohesion Fund, plays a critical role in mitigating regional disparities by supporting infrastructure development, fostering business growth, and creating employment opportunities in less economically developed regions. The investments facilitated by these funds have been integral to the process of economic convergence within the EU, as demonstrated by studies conducted by Pasimeni (2018) and McCann (2019). These studies suggest that regions with lower initial levels of economic development have often experienced faster growth rates, thereby narrowing the economic gap with more affluent regions.

However, the economic impact of the MFF has not been without significant debate. Critics argue that the allocation of funds is often influenced by political considerations rather than by strict adherence to economic efficiency, leading to outcomes that may not always optimize the intended economic benefits. A prominent example of this issue is the allocation of agricultural subsidies, which consume a substantial portion of the MFF budget. These subsidies have been widely criticized for disproportionately benefiting

large, wealthy agricultural producers, often at the expense of smaller, more sustainable farming operations. This not only raises concerns about the fairness and equity of resource distribution but also questions the long-term economic sustainability of such allocations. Moreover, the focus on maintaining existing agricultural structures rather than fostering innovation in the sector has been seen as a missed opportunity for driving more dynamic economic growth.

Furthermore, the economic impact of the MFF is shaped by the broader context of EU governance and policy coherence. The interplay between the MFF and other EU policies, such as competition policy and state aid rules, can sometimes create tensions that undermine the overall effectiveness of the funding. For example, while the MFF aims to support regional development and economic cohesion, stringent competition rules may limit the ability of member states to provide targeted support to specific industries or regions, potentially diluting the impact of MFF-funded initiatives. This dynamic highlights the need for a more integrated approach to EU economic policy, where the objectives of the MFF are aligned with broader policy frameworks to maximize their collective impact.

4.2 Social Impact

The MFF has also made substantial contributions to social development within the EU, particularly through its support for initiatives aimed at improving employment, education, and social inclusion. The European Social Fund (ESF), which is a central component of the MFF, finances a wide range of programs designed to enhance job prospects, reduce poverty, and foster social inclusion. These programs have been instrumental in addressing social inequalities across the EU, providing crucial support to vulnerable groups such as the long-term unemployed, young people, migrants, and those at risk of social exclusion. Petzold (2021) emphasizes that ESF-funded programs have played a vital role in mitigating the adverse social effects of economic restructuring and in promoting social cohesion within the EU.

Despite these achievements, the social impact of the MFF has been uneven, with significant disparities in how different regions and social groups benefit from its programs. Some regions, particularly those with stronger administrative capacities and more robust economic structures, have been more successful in utilizing MFF funds to address social

challenges. In contrast, regions with weaker administrative capacities or more complex social issues have struggled to fully leverage the available resources. This uneven impact underscores the challenges associated with the MFF's rigid funding structure and the complexity of its programs. The bureaucratic and administrative hurdles that accompany MFF funding can sometimes impede the effective implementation of social policies, particularly in regions that lack the necessary administrative infrastructure to manage and deploy these funds efficiently.

Moreover, the MFF's approach to social policy has been criticized for being too focused on short-term interventions rather than addressing the underlying structural causes of social inequality. While ESF programs have been effective in providing immediate support to vulnerable groups, there is a growing recognition that more needs to be done to tackle the root causes of social exclusion and inequality. This includes addressing issues such as educational disparities, labor market segmentation, and access to social services, which require a more holistic and long-term approach to social policy. The challenge for future iterations of the MFF will be to develop more flexible and responsive funding mechanisms that can adapt to the diverse and evolving social needs of different regions and social groups across the EU.

4.3 Environmental Sustainability

In recent years, the MFF has increasingly integrated environmental sustainability into its core priorities, reflecting the EU's broader commitment to combating climate change and promoting green growth. The 2021-2027 MFF marks a significant shift towards environmental and climate-focused spending, with 30% of its total budget allocated to projects related to environmental protection, sustainability, and climate action. This represents a substantial increase in funding for green initiatives compared to previous MFF cycles, signaling the EU's recognition of the urgent need to address environmental challenges as a key component of its long-term economic and social strategy.

The increased emphasis on environmental sustainability within the MFF is seen as a positive development, with the potential to generate significant long-term benefits for both the economy and society. By investing in green technologies, renewable energy, and sustainable infrastructure, the MFF can help to drive the transition towards a low-carbon economy, create new jobs in emerging green sectors, and reduce the EU's dependence on

fossil fuels. These investments also align with the EU's broader environmental objectives, such as the European Green Deal, which aims to make Europe the world's first climate-neutral continent by 2050.

However, the effectiveness of these environmental initiatives remains to be fully realized and is contingent on several critical factors. As noted by Hagemann (2020), the success of the MFF's environmental agenda will largely depend on the ability of EU member states to implement ambitious and coherent climate policies at the national level, as well as their capacity to coordinate these efforts within the broader EU framework. This will require strong political will, effective governance structures, and robust monitoring mechanisms to ensure that the allocated funds are used efficiently and achieve the desired environmental outcomes.

Moreover, the shift towards a greener MFF raises important questions about the balance between environmental sustainability and other policy objectives. For instance, there is an ongoing debate about the potential trade-offs between investing in green initiatives and maintaining support for traditional industries and regions that may be adversely affected by the transition to a low-carbon economy. Ensuring a just transition that protects vulnerable workers and communities while advancing environmental goals will be a key challenge for the MFF in the coming years.

In conclusion, while the MFF has made significant strides in promoting economic growth, social cohesion, and environmental sustainability across the EU, its impact has been uneven and subject to ongoing debate. The future success of the MFF will depend on its ability to adapt to emerging challenges, address underlying structural issues, and balance the diverse needs and priorities of the EU's member states and regions.

5. Findings

The comprehensive analysis of the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) reveals its significant and multifaceted impact on the economic, social, and environmental landscape of the European Union (EU). The MFF has emerged as a pivotal instrument in fostering economic growth, reducing regional disparities, promoting social inclusion, and advancing environmental sustainability. However, the effectiveness of the MFF has been

tempered by various challenges, including political compromises, the complexity of its implementation, and the uneven distribution of benefits across regions and social groups.

5.1 Economic Impact

The findings indicate that the MFF has played a crucial role in stimulating economic growth across the EU, particularly in less developed regions. Through cohesion policy, primarily funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and the Cohesion Fund, the MFF has supported infrastructure development, business innovation, and job creation in regions that lag behind economically. This has contributed to economic convergence within the EU, with poorer regions often experiencing accelerated growth rates compared to their wealthier counterparts.

However, the analysis also highlights significant limitations in the economic impact of the MFF. Political compromises have often influenced the allocation of funds, leading to suboptimal outcomes that do not always align with the principles of economic efficiency. A notable example is the agricultural subsidies, which have been criticized for disproportionately favoring large, wealthy agricultural enterprises at the expense of smaller, sustainable farming operations. This misallocation of resources raises concerns about the long-term sustainability and fairness of the MFF's economic contributions.

Moreover, the interplay between the MFF and other EU policies, such as competition policy and state aid rules, has sometimes undermined the effectiveness of the MFF in achieving its economic objectives. The rigid and complex funding structures have also posed challenges for regions with limited administrative capacities, further constraining the potential economic benefits of the MFF.

5.2 Social Impact

The MFF has had a significant impact on social development within the EU, particularly through its support for employment, education, and social inclusion initiatives. The European Social Fund (ESF) has been instrumental in financing programs that improve job prospects, reduce poverty, and promote social inclusion, especially for vulnerable groups such as the long-term unemployed, youth, and migrants. These efforts have contributed to mitigating social inequalities and enhancing social cohesion across the EU.

However, the social impact of the MFF has been uneven, with substantial disparities in the distribution of benefits across different regions and social groups. Regions with stronger administrative capacities and more robust economic structures have been more successful in utilizing MFF funds, while regions with weaker capacities have struggled to fully leverage the available resources. This unevenness is further exacerbated by the complexity and rigidity of the MFF's funding mechanisms, which can hinder the effective implementation of social policies in regions with more complex social challenges.

The findings also suggest that while the MFF has provided crucial support to vulnerable groups, it has been less effective in addressing the underlying structural causes of social inequality. The focus on short-term interventions has sometimes come at the expense of more comprehensive, long-term strategies that could more effectively tackle issues such as educational disparities, labor market segmentation, and unequal access to social services.

5.3 Environmental Sustainability

The MFF has increasingly prioritized environmental sustainability, reflecting the EU's broader commitment to combating climate change and promoting green growth. The 2021-2027 MFF, with its allocation of 30% of the budget to climate-related initiatives, marks a significant shift towards supporting environmental protection and sustainability. This focus on green investments has the potential to drive the transition to a low-carbon economy, create new jobs in green sectors, and reduce the EU's dependence on fossil fuels.

However, the effectiveness of these environmental initiatives remains to be fully realized. The success of the MFF's environmental agenda is contingent on the ability of EU member states to implement ambitious and coherent climate policies and to effectively coordinate their efforts at the EU level. The findings also highlight the challenges associated with balancing environmental sustainability with other policy objectives, such as supporting traditional industries and ensuring a just transition for regions and communities that may be adversely affected by the shift to a low-carbon economy.

In conclusion, while the MFF has made significant contributions to economic growth, social inclusion, and environmental sustainability within the EU, its impact has been

uneven and constrained by various challenges. The findings underscore the need for more flexible, efficient, and equitable funding mechanisms that can better address the diverse needs and priorities of the EU's member states and regions.

6. Future Research

The analysis of the MFF has illuminated several areas that warrant further research to deepen our understanding of its impact and to enhance its effectiveness in the future.

Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term economic impacts of the MFF, particularly in terms of its contribution to sustainable growth and economic resilience. While the current analysis highlights the MFF's role in promoting economic convergence, there is a need for more in-depth studies that examine how these impacts evolve over time and how they interact with other EU policies. Additionally, research could explore the effectiveness of the MFF in fostering innovation and competitiveness in the EU, particularly in the context of global economic challenges and technological advancements.

Given the uneven social impact of the MFF, future research should investigate the effectiveness of different MFF-funded social programs in reducing structural inequalities. This includes examining how these programs can be better tailored to address the specific needs of different regions and social groups, particularly those that have been historically marginalized. Research could also explore alternative funding mechanisms and policy approaches that could enhance the flexibility and responsiveness of the MFF in addressing social challenges.

As the EU continues to prioritize environmental sustainability, future research should focus on assessing the effectiveness of the MFF's green initiatives. This includes evaluating the actual environmental outcomes of MFF-funded projects and identifying best practices for implementing and scaling these initiatives across the EU. Research could also explore the potential trade-offs between environmental sustainability and other policy objectives, such as economic growth and social inclusion, and how these trade-offs can be managed to achieve a balanced and sustainable development strategy.

Future research should also examine the governance structures and policy coherence of the MFF, particularly in terms of how it interacts with other EU policies and initiatives. This includes exploring ways to enhance the alignment between the MFF and other key

EU policies, such as competition policy, state aid rules, and the European Green Deal, to maximize their collective impact. Research could also investigate how the MFF's governance mechanisms can be improved to ensure more effective implementation, monitoring, and accountability, particularly in regions with weaker administrative capacities.

Finally, future research should consider how the MFF can be adapted to address emerging challenges, such as the digital transformation, demographic shifts, and geopolitical uncertainties. As the EU faces new and evolving challenges, it will be crucial to ensure that the MFF remains a flexible and dynamic instrument that can respond effectively to these changes. Research in this area could explore how the MFF can be restructured or reformed to better align with the EU's long-term strategic objectives and to ensure that it continues to deliver tangible benefits for all member states and regions.

7. Conclusion

The Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) serves as the financial and strategic backbone of the European Union (EU), providing the necessary resources to advance the Union's long-term goals. Spanning multiple years, the MFF outlines the EU's budgetary priorities, channeling funds into key areas such as economic development, social inclusion, and environmental sustainability. Over time, the MFF has solidified its position as a central mechanism in the EU's efforts to foster cohesion and unity among its diverse member states. Through its carefully structured allocations, the MFF has enabled significant progress in areas critical to the EU's growth and stability. Yet, the successes achieved under the MFF have not come without significant challenges and limitations that underscore the need for continuous evaluation, reform, and adaptation.

Economically, the MFF has been instrumental in promoting growth and reducing disparities across the EU. By funding critical infrastructure projects, supporting small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and fostering innovation, the MFF has driven economic development, particularly in less developed regions. The European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and the Cohesion Fund have been key in these efforts, providing vital resources that have enabled poorer regions to catch up with their wealthier counterparts. This has contributed to the overall economic convergence within the EU, a core objective of the Union's cohesion policy.

However, the economic impact of the MFF has been constrained by several factors. Political considerations often influence the distribution of funds, leading to allocations that may prioritize political expediency over economic efficiency. This has resulted in suboptimal outcomes, particularly in sectors such as agriculture, where subsidies have disproportionately favored large-scale, industrial farming operations. Such allocations have not only skewed the distribution of benefits but have also raised concerns about the long-term sustainability of the MFF's economic contributions. The dominance of agricultural subsidies, for instance, has been criticized for stifling innovation and failing to support smaller, more sustainable farming practices that could drive rural development and environmental stewardship.

Moreover, the MFF's economic impact is further complicated by its interaction with other EU policies. The strictures of competition policy and state aid rules can sometimes clash with the objectives of the MFF, limiting the ability of member states to tailor their economic strategies to their specific needs. This tension highlights a broader challenge within the EU's policy framework: the need for greater coherence and integration between different policy areas to maximize the impact of the MFF. Additionally, the administrative complexities associated with accessing and managing MFF funds have posed significant barriers, particularly for regions with weaker institutional capacities. These barriers have often led to underutilization of available resources, reducing the overall effectiveness of the MFF in driving economic growth.

In the social domain, the MFF has played a pivotal role in advancing inclusion and reducing inequality across the EU. The European Social Fund (ESF) has been a key instrument in this regard, financing initiatives that enhance employment opportunities, combat poverty, and promote social cohesion. Programs targeting vulnerable populations, including the long-term unemployed, youth, and migrants, have been particularly impactful, helping to mitigate the social inequalities exacerbated by economic restructuring and other challenges.

Despite these successes, the social impact of the MFF has been uneven, with significant disparities in how benefits are distributed across different regions and social groups. Regions with robust administrative capacities and stronger economies have been more successful in leveraging MFF resources, while those with weaker infrastructures have struggled to fully access and deploy available funds. This has led to a situation where the

social benefits of the MFF are not equitably distributed, with some regions and groups being left behind.

Moreover, while the MFF has effectively addressed immediate social needs, it has been less successful in tackling the structural causes of social inequality. Issues such as educational disparities, labor market segmentation, and unequal access to social services require more than just short-term interventions; they demand comprehensive, long-term strategies that address the root causes of inequality. The rigidity of the MFF's funding structures has often limited the flexibility needed to design and implement such strategies, particularly in regions with complex social challenges. As a result, the potential of the MFF to drive meaningful social change has not been fully realized.

In recent years, environmental sustainability has become a central focus of the MFF, reflecting the EU's growing commitment to addressing climate change and promoting green growth. The 2021-2027 MFF represents a significant shift towards environmental priorities, with 30% of its budget allocated to climate-related initiatives. This increased emphasis on green investments is a positive development, aligning the MFF with the EU's broader environmental goals, including the European Green Deal's ambitious target of achieving climate neutrality by 2050.

The shift towards environmental sustainability within the MFF holds great potential for driving the transition to a low-carbon economy, fostering innovation in green technologies, and creating new jobs in sustainable sectors. However, the realization of these benefits is contingent on the effective implementation of climate policies at both the EU and national levels. The success of the MFF's environmental agenda depends on the ability of member states to translate EU-level commitments into concrete actions, ensuring that the allocated funds are used efficiently and that environmental objectives are met.

Furthermore, the transition to a green economy presents significant challenges, particularly for regions and industries that may be adversely affected. Ensuring a just transition—where the economic and social costs of moving towards sustainability are equitably shared—is a critical concern. The MFF must balance its environmental goals with the need to support traditional industries and regions, particularly those that are heavily reliant on carbon-intensive activities. Achieving this balance will require careful

planning and coordination, as well as the flexibility to adapt to the specific needs of different regions.

While the MFF has made significant contributions to the EU's economic, social, and environmental goals, it is clear that several challenges remain. Political tensions and the need for compromise often result in allocations that do not fully align with the Union's broader strategic objectives. The administrative complexity of the MFF can hinder effective implementation, particularly in regions with limited capacity. Furthermore, the rigidity of the MFF's funding structures limits its ability to adapt to emerging challenges, such as climate change, digital transformation, and shifting geopolitical landscapes.

Addressing these challenges will require ongoing reform and innovation. Future iterations of the MFF must be designed to be more flexible and responsive, capable of adapting to the rapidly changing global environment. This includes enhancing the coherence between the MFF and other EU policies, streamlining administrative procedures to reduce barriers to access, and ensuring that funding is allocated in a way that maximizes its impact across all regions and sectors.

As the MFF continues to evolve, future research will play a crucial role in evaluating the effectiveness of recent reforms and identifying areas for further improvement. Researchers should focus on assessing the long-term economic impacts of the MFF, particularly in terms of sustainability and resilience. There is also a need for more in-depth studies on the social impact of the MFF, particularly in addressing structural inequalities and promoting social cohesion. Additionally, research should explore the effectiveness of the MFF's environmental initiatives, identifying best practices and potential trade-offs between different policy objectives.

Moreover, future research should consider how the MFF can be adapted to address emerging challenges, such as the digital transformation, demographic shifts, and geopolitical uncertainties. As the EU faces new and evolving challenges, the MFF must remain a dynamic and responsive tool, capable of supporting the Union's long-term strategic objectives. Research in this area could explore how the MFF can be restructured or reformed to better align with the EU's long-term goals, ensuring that it continues to deliver tangible benefits for all member states and regions.

In conclusion, the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) has been instrumental in driving the EU's economic, social, and environmental agenda, making significant contributions to the Union's long-term development. However, its impact has been uneven, and its effectiveness has been constrained by various challenges, including political compromises, administrative complexities, and the need for greater flexibility. As the EU continues to navigate an increasingly complex and interconnected world, the MFF must evolve to meet new challenges and seize emerging opportunities. By addressing its current limitations and enhancing its adaptability, the MFF can continue to serve as a robust foundation for the EU's future growth and development. As such, the MFF remains a critical area of focus for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders across the Union, who must work together to ensure that it continues to fulfill its vital role in supporting the EU's vision of a prosperous, inclusive, and sustainable future.

References

1. Begg, I. (2017). The EU Budget: Common future or sticking together? *Journal of European Integration*, 39(2), 191-205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036337.2016.1277714>
2. Hagemann, S. (2020). The Multiannual Financial Framework and the European Union's long-term budgetary planning. *European Policy Analysis*, 6(3), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/epa2.1091>
3. Pasimeni, P. (2018). The economic impact of EU cohesion policy. *Regional Studies*, 52(5), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2017.1360488>
4. Cipriani, G. (2019). Financing the European Union: The role of the Multiannual Financial Framework. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 57, 14-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2018.09.001>
5. Petzold, W. (2021). Social inclusion and the European Social Fund: Achievements and challenges. *Social Policy and Society*, 20(2), 259-272. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474746420000354>
6. McCann, P. (2019). The regional and urban impacts of the EU budget: Cohesion, competitiveness, and economic convergence. *Journal of Regional Science*, 59(4), 635-653. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jors.12424>
7. Molle, W. (2017). *Cohesion and growth: The theory and practice of European policy making*. Routledge.

8. Rodríguez-Pose, A. (2018). The territorial impact of globalization on European regions. *European Review of Economic History*, 22(3), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ereh/hex015>
9. Bachtler, J., & Begg, I. (2018). EU cohesion policy in practice: What does it achieve? *Policy Studies*, 39(3), 219-236. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2018.1478429>
10. Allen, D. (2018). The European Union's budget and the Multiannual Financial Framework: Balancing means and ends. *European Politics and Society*, 19(3), 270-286. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23745118.2017.1406162>
11. Heinemann, F. (2020). The political economy of EU budget reform. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 58(5), 1314-1330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.13005>
12. Laffan, B. (2018). The European Union budget: Limits and possibilities. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25(2), 219-232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1363265>
13. Borrell, J. (2020). The future of the EU's financial architecture: The need for reform. *European Journal of International Relations*, 26(2), 274-289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066119879373>
14. Ferrera, M. (2019). EU welfare states and the economic crisis: From integration to disintegration? *West European Politics*, 42(3), 554-577. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2019.1578058>
15. Monti, M. (2016). Future financing of the EU: Final report and recommendations of the High Level Group on Own Resources. European Commission.
16. Inman, P. (2020). The EU budget post-Brexit: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 58(3), 589-606. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12947>
17. Kölling, M. (2020). The MFF and the rule of law: Challenges ahead. *European Constitutional Law Review*, 16(3), 398-418. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1574019620000306>
18. Davies, S., & Greer, S. L. (2017). A budget for health in the EU? The European Social Fund and health system resilience. *Health Economics, Policy, and Law*, 12(3), 281-299. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744133117000037>
19. Hooghe, L., & Marks, G. (2020). The structure of multilevel governance and the future of the EU. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 23, 121-138. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-050718-033516>

20. Schimmelfennig, F. (2018). The European Union as a system of differentiated integration: A comparative analysis. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25(4), 494-510. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2018.1448650>