GDP Convergence and Divergence Among EU Members

Federico Martinez Peris Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Abstract

This paper examines the patterns of GDP convergence and divergence among European Union (EU) member states, exploring the dynamics of economic growth across the region. The study investigates the extent to which EU integration has facilitated economic convergence, where poorer countries catch up with richer ones, and the circumstances under which divergence, or growing economic disparities, has occurred. By analyzing cross-country GDP data, the paper identifies key factors influencing these trends, including the role of EU policies, structural reforms, and external economic shocks. The findings contribute to understanding the economic integration process within the EU and its implications for future policy directions.

Keywords: GDP convergence, GDP divergence, European Union, economic growth, regional disparities, economic integration

1. Introduction

The European Union (EU) was established with the dual objectives of fostering economic integration and promoting stability among its member states. These objectives were not merely aspirational but were deeply embedded in the foundational treaties and policy frameworks that have guided the EU's evolution over the past several decades. Central to the EU’s vision of integration is the concept of economic convergence, where the expectation is that less economically developed countries would experience faster growth rates compared to their wealthier counterparts, ultimately leading to a reduction in income disparities across the union. This idea of convergence is underpinned by neoclassical growth theory, which posits that poorer economies, due to their lower capital-to-labor ratios, should exhibit higher marginal returns on capital. As a result, these economies should attract more investment and grow faster than wealthier economies, which face diminishing returns to capital. In an integrated economic space like the EU, this process should, in theory, lead to a gradual leveling of economic conditions across the member states.

To achieve this goal, the EU has implemented a range of policy instruments designed to foster economic convergence. These instruments include structural funds, cohesion policies, and the establishment of the single market, each playing a critical role in reducing economic disparities. Structural funds, for instance, have been a cornerstone of the EU's regional development strategy. These funds are allocated to less developed regions within the EU to finance infrastructure projects, improve education and training systems, promote research and innovation, and support small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). The aim is to enhance the economic potential of these regions, making them more attractive to investors and enabling them to compete more effectively within the single market. Cohesion policies, which are broader in scope, aim to promote balanced development across all EU regions by addressing economic, social, and territorial disparities. The single market, established in 1993, is another critical component of the EU's strategy for economic integration. By eliminating barriers to the free movement of goods, services, capital, and labor, the single market creates a level playing field where all member states can benefit from economic opportunities, regardless of their level of development.

However, the EU's experience with economic convergence has been mixed, reflecting a complex interplay of successes and ongoing challenges. On the one hand, the EU has seen substantial progress in reducing income disparities among some of its newer member states, particularly those in Central and Eastern Europe. These countries have benefited significantly from EU membership, which has provided access to structural funds, facilitated integration into the single market, and attracted foreign direct investment. As a result, countries like Poland, the Czech Republic, and Estonia have experienced rapid economic growth and have significantly narrowed the income gap with their Western European counterparts. This convergence process has been particularly pronounced in the years following the EU's enlargement in 2004, which brought in ten new member states from Central and Eastern Europe. These countries, having undergone significant economic and institutional reforms in the lead-up to their EU accession, were well-positioned to take advantage of the opportunities offered by EU membership. The rapid growth experienced by these countries in the first decade of EU membership is often cited as a success story of economic convergence within the union.

Yet, this success is not uniformly shared across the EU. Several member states, particularly in Southern Europe, have struggled to achieve similar levels of convergence. Countries such as Greece, Italy, Portugal, and Spain have faced a series of economic challenges that have hindered their ability to close the income gap with Northern and Western Europe. These challenges are multifaceted, encompassing high levels of public debt, structural economic weaknesses, and rigid labor markets, which have limited their capacity to respond to economic shocks and adapt to the changing global economic environment. The global financial crisis of 2008 and the subsequent Eurozone debt crisis had a particularly severe impact on these countries, leading to deep recessions, high unemployment rates, and a significant widening of income disparities within the EU. During this period, the EU witnessed a divergence in economic performance, with some member states continuing to grow while others struggled to recover from the crisis.

This divergence raises important questions about the effectiveness of EU policies in promoting economic convergence and highlights the complex and dynamic nature of economic integration within the union. While EU structural funds and cohesion policies have provided essential support to less developed regions, their impact has been uneven, reflecting differences in how these funds are utilized, the capacity of local institutions to absorb and effectively deploy EU resources, and the broader economic context in which these policies are implemented. Moreover, the single market, while promoting overall economic growth, has also exposed weaker economies to greater competition, sometimes exacerbating their challenges rather than alleviating them. For instance, the free movement of labor, a key pillar of the single market, has led to significant migration flows from poorer to richer member states, which, while beneficial for individuals, has sometimes resulted in a "brain drain" in the sending countries, further complicating their development challenges.

This paper aims to explore the dynamics of GDP convergence and divergence among EU member states, with a particular focus on understanding the factors that drive these trends and assessing the effectiveness of EU policies in fostering economic cohesion. The study begins with a comprehensive review of the literature on economic convergence, drawing on both theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence to provide a framework for understanding the process of convergence within the EU. This review will cover key concepts such as absolute and conditional convergence, the role of capital flows, the impact of institutional quality on economic growth, and the influence of external factors such as global economic trends and technological change.

Following the literature review, the paper presents an empirical analysis of GDP data from EU member states, employing a range of econometric techniques to examine the patterns of convergence and divergence over time. This analysis will focus on key periods in the EU's history, including the post-enlargement phase following the accession of Central and Eastern European countries, the period leading up to and following the global financial crisis, and the ongoing challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. By examining these different periods, the study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of how economic convergence has evolved within the EU and the factors that have influenced these trends. The empirical analysis will also consider the role of EU policies, such as structural funds and cohesion policies, in shaping these outcomes, as well as the impact of national policies and broader economic conditions.

The findings of this study are expected to shed light on the complex and multifaceted nature of economic convergence within the EU. They will highlight the successes of EU policies in promoting growth and reducing disparities in some regions, while also underscoring the persistent challenges that remain in achieving greater economic cohesion. In particular, the study will explore the role of national policies, EU-level interventions, and external factors such as global economic conditions in shaping economic outcomes across the union. The paper will conclude by discussing the implications of these findings for future EU policy-making, particularly in the context of ongoing debates about the future of EU integration, the potential for further enlargement, and the need to address the economic challenges facing some member states.

In light of these findings, the paper will argue that while the EU has made significant progress in promoting economic convergence, more targeted and flexible approaches may be needed to address the specific challenges faced by different regions. This may include a greater emphasis on supporting innovation and technological development in lagging regions, addressing structural weaknesses in Southern Europe, and ensuring that cohesion policies are effectively tailored to the needs of each member state. As the EU continues to navigate a rapidly changing global economic landscape, understanding the dynamics of convergence and divergence will be crucial for ensuring that the benefits of economic integration are shared equitably among all member states. Ultimately, the success of the

EU's integration project will depend on its ability to foster sustainable and inclusive economic growth across the entire union, ensuring that no region or member state is left behind.

2. Literature Review

The concept of economic convergence has been a central topic of research in the field of regional integration, with particular focus on its application within the European Union (EU). Convergence, in this context, refers to the process by which less economically developed regions or countries catch up to their more developed counterparts in terms of income and productivity levels, thereby reducing economic disparities across the region. This concept is crucial for understanding the dynamics of economic integration in the EU, where the reduction of income inequality among member states is one of the key objectives.

One of the foundational contributions to the study of economic convergence is the concept of "beta-convergence," introduced by Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1992). Beta-convergence occurs when poorer regions or countries exhibit higher growth rates than richer ones, leading to a reduction in income disparities over time. This concept is typically assessed using cross-sectional regressions of GDP growth rates on initial income levels, where a negative relationship between initial income and subsequent growth indicates the presence of beta-convergence. The underlying theory suggests that due to diminishing returns to capital, regions or countries with lower initial levels of capital (and hence lower income levels) should experience faster growth as they catch up with wealthier regions. This idea has been widely applied in empirical studies to assess whether and to what extent economic convergence is occurring within the EU.

In addition to beta-convergence, researchers have also explored the concept of "sigma-convergence," which was introduced by Quah (1996). Sigma-convergence refers to the reduction in the dispersion of income levels across regions or countries over time. Unlike beta-convergence, which focuses on the relationship between initial income levels and subsequent growth rates, sigma-convergence is concerned with the overall distribution of income. Sigma-convergence occurs when the standard deviation or variance of per capita income across regions decreases over time, indicating a narrowing of income disparities. The distinction between beta- and sigma-convergence is important because it is possible to observe beta-convergence (where poorer regions grow faster than richer ones) without sigma-convergence if income disparities remain persistent or if income levels converge to different steady states.

Empirical studies on economic convergence within the EU have produced mixed results, reflecting the complexity of the convergence process and the diversity of economic conditions across member states. For instance, Monfort (2008) conducted an analysis of convergence among the EU-15 countries (the group of countries that were members of the EU prior to the 2004 enlargement) and found evidence of beta-convergence, suggesting that poorer countries within this group tended to grow faster than their wealthier counterparts. However, Monfort also noted significant regional disparities, particularly at the sub-national level, where some regions within richer countries lagged behind, while certain regions in poorer countries outperformed national averages. This finding highlights the importance of considering regional dynamics within countries, as national-level convergence can mask significant intra-national inequalities.

More recent studies have explored how convergence trends within the EU have evolved in the context of major economic shocks, such as the global financial crisis of 2008 and the subsequent Eurozone crisis. For instance, Dauderstädt (2019) examined convergence trends in the aftermath of these crises and argued that the process of economic convergence within the EU has weakened, particularly in Southern Europe. The Eurozone crisis, in particular, exposed structural weaknesses in several Southern European economies, including Greece, Italy, Portugal, and Spain, which struggled with high levels of public debt, rigid labor markets, and a lack of competitiveness. These challenges hindered their ability to recover from the crisis and led to a divergence in economic performance between Northern and Southern Europe. Dauderstädt's analysis suggests that while some Central and Eastern European countries have continued to converge with Western Europe, Southern Europe has experienced stagnation or even divergence in terms of income levels, raising concerns about the long-term sustainability of economic cohesion within the EU.

Other studies have focused on specific factors that influence the convergence process within the EU. For example, Crespo Cuaresma, Ritzberger-Grünwald, and Silgoner (2008) examined the role of human capital in promoting convergence and found that regions with higher levels of education and skills tend to experience faster economic growth. This finding is consistent with endogenous growth theories, which emphasize the importance of human capital, innovation, and technological progress in driving long-term economic growth. The study also highlighted the role of EU structural funds in supporting human capital development, particularly in less developed regions, and suggested that these funds have contributed to the convergence process by improving the economic potential of lagging regions.

Furthermore, studies such as those by Cappelen et al. (2003) and Rodríguez-Pose and Fratesi (2004) have examined the impact of EU cohesion policies on economic convergence. These studies generally find that EU structural and cohesion funds have played a positive role in promoting convergence, particularly in poorer regions of the EU. However, the effectiveness of these funds varies depending on factors such as the quality of local institutions, the capacity to absorb and effectively use EU funds, and the broader economic context. Cappelen et al. (2003) argue that while cohesion policies have helped to reduce regional disparities, their impact is often limited by structural weaknesses in the recipient regions, such as inadequate infrastructure, low levels of education, and weak governance. Rodríguez-Pose and Fratesi (2004) further emphasize the importance of tailoring cohesion policies to the specific needs of each region, rather than adopting a one-size-fits-all approach, to achieve more effective and sustainable convergence outcomes.

In addition to these empirical studies, the literature also includes theoretical contributions that seek to explain the mechanisms behind economic convergence and divergence. The Solow-Swan model, for example, provides a framework for understanding how capital accumulation, labor force growth, and technological progress drive economic growth and convergence. According to this model, poorer economies should converge to the income levels of richer economies due to diminishing returns to capital. However, the model also suggests that convergence may be conditional, depending on factors such as savings rates, population growth, and technological adoption. In the context of the EU, conditional convergence implies that countries or regions with similar structural characteristics, such as labor market institutions or levels of human capital, are more likely to converge in terms of income levels.

Moreover, the literature on "club convergence" offers insights into why convergence may occur among some groups of countries or regions but not others. Club convergence theory suggests that regions or countries with similar initial conditions and institutional characteristics are more likely to converge towards a common income level, while others may diverge. This concept is particularly relevant in the EU context, where member states differ significantly in terms of their economic structures, levels of development, and institutional quality. Studies such as those by Durlauf and Johnson (1995) have applied the concept of club convergence to explain the divergent growth patterns observed among EU member states, arguing that differences in factors such as governance, innovation capacity, and infrastructure quality can lead to the formation of distinct "convergence clubs" within the EU.

In summary, the literature on economic convergence within the EU highlights the complexity of the convergence process and the multitude of factors that influence it. While there is evidence of both beta- and sigma-convergence in certain periods and among certain groups of countries, significant challenges remain, particularly in achieving convergence across all regions and member states. The mixed results found in empirical studies underscore the importance of considering the diverse economic conditions, institutional contexts, and policy environments across the EU. As the EU continues to evolve, understanding these dynamics will be crucial for designing effective policies that promote sustainable and inclusive economic growth across the region.

3. Methodology

This study employs a rigorous empirical approach to analyze the patterns of economic convergence and divergence among European Union (EU) member states over a 27-year period, from 1995 to 2022. The analysis is grounded in both beta-convergence and sigma-convergence frameworks, providing a comprehensive examination of how income disparities have evolved across the EU. By utilizing GDP per capita data sourced from reputable institutions such as the World Bank and Eurostat, the study ensures that the findings are based on reliable and accurate economic indicators. The methodology section is divided into several key components: data collection, beta-convergence analysis, sigma-convergence analysis, and the investigation of structural reforms, EU cohesion policies, and external shocks.

3.1 Data Collection and Sources

The data used in this study comprises annual GDP per capita figures for all current EU member states, adjusted for purchasing power parity (PPP). This adjustment is essential for making meaningful comparisons between countries with different price levels, ensuring that the analysis reflects real differences in living standards rather than nominal income variations. The data spans from 1995, capturing the pre-accession period for many Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries, through to 2022, covering significant events such as EU enlargements, the global financial crisis, the Eurozone crisis, and the COVID-19 pandemic.

In addition to GDP per capita data, the study incorporates various control variables that are crucial for understanding the drivers of economic growth. These variables include measures of human capital (such as average years of schooling), investment rates, government consumption, trade openness, and indicators of institutional quality (like governance and corruption indices). These controls are necessary to isolate the impact of initial income levels on growth and to account for other factors that might influence convergence dynamics.

3.2 Beta-Convergence Analysis

The beta-convergence analysis is designed to test whether poorer EU member states have been growing faster than their richer counterparts, thereby reducing income disparities over time. This concept is rooted in neoclassical growth theory, which posits that countries with lower levels of capital per worker should experience higher returns to investment, leading to faster economic growth.

The analysis employs a cross-sectional regression model, where the dependent variable is the average annual growth rate of GDP per capita for each country over a specified period. The independent variable of primary interest is the logarithm of the initial GDP per capita, which represents the starting income level of each country. The regression model can be expressed as follows:

Growth Ratei,t=α+β·log⁡(Initial GDP per capitai,t)+γ·Xi,t+ϵi,t\text{Growth Rate}_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta \cdot \log(\text{Initial GDP per capita}_{i,t}) + \gamma \cdot X_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}Growth Ratei,t=α+β·log(Initial GDP per capitai,t)+γ·Xi,t+ϵi,t

In this model, α\alphaα is the intercept term, β\betaβ is the coefficient of interest (which indicates the presence and strength of beta-convergence), γ\gammaγ represents the coefficients on the control variables Xi,tX_{i,t}Xi,t, and ϵi,t\epsilon_{i,t}ϵi,t is the error term. A negative and statistically significant value of β\betaβ would confirm the existence of beta-convergence, indicating that countries with lower initial GDP per capita tend to grow faster, narrowing the income gap over time.

To capture temporal variations in convergence patterns, the analysis is conducted for different sub-periods, such as the pre- and post-Eurozone crisis periods, and across different groups of countries, including the original EU-15, the CEE countries, and Southern European countries. This allows the study to assess whether convergence trends have been consistent across time and regions or whether specific events, such as economic crises, have disrupted the convergence process.

3.3 Sigma-Convergence Analysis

While beta-convergence focuses on the relationship between initial income levels and subsequent growth rates, sigma-convergence examines the evolution of income dispersion across countries over time. Sigma-convergence occurs when the distribution of income becomes more equal, as measured by a reduction in the standard deviation (or other measures of dispersion) of GDP per capita across countries.

The analysis of sigma-convergence in this study involves calculating the standard deviation of GDP per capita across EU member states for each year in the dataset. A decreasing standard deviation over time would indicate that income disparities are narrowing, suggesting the presence of sigma-convergence. Conversely, an increasing standard deviation would indicate sigma-divergence, where income disparities are widening.

This analysis is crucial for understanding the overall effectiveness of convergence processes, as it captures changes in the distribution of income across all EU member states, rather than just the relationship between poorer and richer countries. The study also explores whether sigma-convergence trends differ across various regions of the EU, such as between Northern and Southern Europe or between Western and Eastern Europe.

3.4 Investigating the Role of Structural Reforms, EU Cohesion Policies, and External Shocks

In addition to the core analyses of beta- and sigma-convergence, this study investigates the impact of structural reforms, EU cohesion policies, and external economic shocks on convergence trends. These factors are critical for understanding the broader context in which convergence processes occur and for identifying the drivers and barriers to economic cohesion within the EU.

Structural Reforms: The study examines the role of structural reforms in promoting economic growth and convergence. Structural reforms refer to changes in policy and institutional frameworks that improve the functioning of markets, enhance productivity, and foster economic resilience. Examples of structural reforms include labor market deregulation, improvements in the business environment, and investments in education and innovation. The impact of these reforms is analyzed by incorporating interaction terms in the growth regression model, which allows the study to assess whether the effectiveness of reforms varies depending on a country's initial economic conditions or its stage of development.

EU Cohesion Policies: EU cohesion policies are designed to reduce regional disparities by supporting economic development in less prosperous regions. The study evaluates the effectiveness of these policies by analyzing the relationship between the allocation of structural and cohesion funds and subsequent economic growth. The analysis considers both the quantity of funds received and the efficiency with which they are used, taking into account factors such as governance quality and institutional capacity. By comparing regions that have received significant EU funding with those that have received less, the study aims to determine the extent to which cohesion policies have contributed to economic convergence.

External Economic Shocks: The analysis also considers the impact of external economic shocks, such as the global financial crisis, the Eurozone crisis, and the COVID-19 pandemic, on convergence trends. These shocks are treated as exogenous events that can disrupt or accelerate convergence processes. The study explores how different countries have responded to these shocks and whether they have exacerbated or mitigated existing income disparities. Time-series analysis is used to capture both the short-term and long-term effects of these shocks on GDP per capita growth and dispersion.

4. Results

The empirical results of the study provide a detailed and multifaceted understanding of the dynamics of economic convergence within the EU.

4.1 Evidence of Beta-Convergence

The beta-convergence analysis reveals a general pattern of convergence among EU member states, particularly during the early years of the study period. The regression results indicate a statistically significant negative relationship between initial GDP per capita and subsequent growth rates, confirming that poorer countries have tended to grow faster than richer ones, in line with the predictions of neoclassical growth theory.

However, the pace of convergence has not been uniform across time and regions. The analysis shows that while Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries have made significant progress in catching up with Western European countries, the process has slowed in recent years, particularly in the aftermath of the Eurozone crisis. Southern European countries, such as Greece, Italy, and Spain, have experienced periods of divergence, with slower growth rates and widening income disparities relative to the EU average. These findings suggest that while beta-convergence is evident at the EU level, regional disparities and specific economic challenges have disrupted the convergence process in certain parts of the union.

4.2 Inconsistent Sigma-Convergence

The analysis of sigma-convergence presents a more complex picture, with evidence of both convergence and divergence depending on the time period and region. During the late 1990s and early 2000s, there was a clear trend of sigma-convergence, as the dispersion of GDP per capita across EU member states decreased. This trend was largely driven by the rapid economic growth of CEE countries following their accession to the EU and integration into the single market.

However, the progress towards sigma-convergence was disrupted by the global financial crisis and the subsequent Eurozone crisis. These events led to a significant widening of income disparities, particularly between Northern and Southern Europe. The analysis shows that the standard deviation of GDP per capita increased during this period, reflecting a reversal of earlier sigma-convergence trends. While Northern European countries recovered relatively quickly from the crises, Southern European countries struggled with prolonged recessions and structural weaknesses, leading to increased income dispersion across the EU.

4.3 The Impact of EU Cohesion Policies

The analysis finds that EU cohesion policies have played a crucial role in promoting economic convergence, particularly in less developed regions of the EU. The receipt of structural and cohesion funds is associated with higher growth rates, especially in CEE countries that have effectively utilized these funds to improve infrastructure, enhance human capital, and boost competitiveness. The positive impact of cohesion policies is most evident in regions that have strong governance and institutional capacity, which have been able to absorb and deploy EU funds effectively.

However, the effectiveness of EU cohesion policies has been uneven across the union. In some regions, particularly in Southern Europe, the impact of these policies has been less pronounced. Issues such as inefficient use of funds, corruption, and weak governance have undermined the potential benefits of cohesion policies in these areas. The analysis highlights the importance of improving institutional quality and local capacity to ensure that EU funds are used effectively to support economic growth and convergence.

4.4 The Role of External Shocks

External economic shocks have had a significant impact on convergence trends within the EU. The global financial crisis, the Eurozone crisis, and the COVID-19 pandemic have all contributed to periods of economic divergence, disrupting the progress towards greater income equality. The analysis shows that these shocks have often exacerbated existing disparities, particularly in countries and regions that were already vulnerable due to structural weaknesses or high levels of public debt.

For example, the Eurozone crisis had a particularly severe impact on Southern European countries, leading to deep recessions and long-term economic stagnation. These countries faced significant challenges in restoring growth and reducing income disparities, even with the support of EU cohesion policies. The COVID-19 pandemic also had a disproportionate impact on certain sectors and regions, leading to further divergence in economic outcomes across the EU.

The study concludes that while structural reforms and EU cohesion policies have been essential in promoting economic convergence, their effectiveness has varied across regions and over time. External shocks have often disrupted the convergence process, highlighting the need for resilient and inclusive economic policies to sustain long-term convergence within the EU.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study highlight the complex nature of economic convergence within the European Union (EU). Although there is a discernible trend toward convergence, especially among the newer member states, the overall picture is nuanced and reveals significant variability. The analysis underscores that while economic convergence is evident in many cases, substantial challenges remain that must be addressed to achieve more uniform growth across the EU.

One of the key observations is the divergence experienced by Southern European countries. This divergence illustrates the limitations of a one-size-fits-all approach to economic policy and underscores the need for policies that are finely tuned to the specific economic conditions and challenges of struggling regions. The disparities between Southern and Northern Europe highlight that economic integration alone may not be sufficient to address deep-seated structural issues. Therefore, the study suggests that more targeted interventions are necessary to support regions that are lagging behind in their economic development.

Furthermore, the study indicates that while EU policies have contributed to some degree of convergence, their effectiveness has been constrained by various national factors. These factors include governance issues, the quality of institutions, and differing economic structures across member states. The impact of EU policies is thus not uniform;

rather, it varies depending on how well national conditions align with the objectives of these policies. The paper argues for a more nuanced approach to EU cohesion policy, one that takes into account the diverse economic conditions and institutional contexts of member states.

The analysis also reveals that the effectiveness of cohesion policies is influenced by how well they are integrated with national development strategies. This highlights the importance of aligning EU funding and support mechanisms with the specific needs and priorities of individual member states, rather than applying a generic policy framework.

6.Future Research

Future research should expand on several key areas to build a more comprehensive understanding of economic convergence within the EU. One critical area for further investigation is the long-term impact of recent EU policies, such as the Recovery and Resilience Facility. This facility, designed to address the economic fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic and promote recovery, could have significant implications for convergence trends. Analyzing how these policies influence economic growth over an extended period will be essential in assessing their effectiveness and adjusting them to better meet the needs of different regions.

Additionally, there is a need for further research into the role of non-economic factors in shaping convergence outcomes. Political stability, social cohesion, and institutional quality are crucial elements that influence economic performance but are often overlooked in conventional analyses. Understanding how these factors interact with economic policies and contribute to convergence or divergence will provide a more holistic view of the dynamics at play.

Another promising area for future research is the impact of technological advancements and digital transformation on economic convergence. As technological innovation continues to reshape industries and economies, exploring how different regions adapt to and benefit from these changes could offer insights into new pathways for achieving economic convergence.

Lastly, comparative studies between the EU and other regional economic blocs could offer valuable perspectives on how different approaches to economic integration and cohesion impact convergence. Such comparisons could identify best practices and lessons that the EU might adopt to enhance its own policies and strategies.

7.Conclusion

This paper has undertaken a comprehensive examination of GDP convergence and divergence among EU member states, providing a detailed analysis of the factors influencing economic growth and disparities within the European Union. The study reveals a complex landscape where economic convergence is evident in certain areas, particularly among newer member states that have experienced significant growth and development. However, it also highlights persistent divergence, especially in Southern Europe, where several countries continue to face substantial economic challenges and slower growth. This ongoing divergence underscores the uneven impact of economic integration and the need for more tailored and effective policies.

The findings suggest that while convergence is occurring in some regions, it is not universal. Newer EU member states, benefiting from substantial EU support and investment, have demonstrated notable progress in narrowing the income gap with more established members. These countries have leveraged EU funds and structural investments to accelerate their economic development and improve living standards. In contrast, Southern European countries, facing entrenched economic difficulties and structural challenges, have not experienced the same level of improvement. This persistent divergence highlights the limitations of a uniform approach to EU policies and the necessity for more nuanced and region-specific strategies.

The study also emphasizes that while EU policies aimed at fostering convergence have had positive effects, their impact has been constrained by various national factors. Differences in governance quality, institutional effectiveness, and economic structures among member states have influenced how well these policies can be implemented and their effectiveness in promoting convergence. Countries with weaker institutions or less effective governance may struggle to fully utilize and benefit from EU support, thereby limiting the overall impact of cohesion policies. This disparity suggests that EU policies need to be more adaptable, taking into account the diverse conditions and challenges faced by different regions.

Moreover, aligning EU policies with national development strategies is crucial for maximizing their effectiveness. A broad, one-size-fits-all approach is insufficient for addressing the specific needs and priorities of individual member states and regions. Tailoring policies to meet local conditions and addressing regional disparities more directly can enhance the effectiveness of EU support mechanisms and promote more balanced economic development.

In summary, achieving economic convergence within the EU is a complex and evolving challenge that requires a sophisticated and multi-faceted approach. The interplay of national policies, institutional quality, external economic conditions, and regional disparities creates a dynamic environment that demands careful consideration in policy design and implementation. Current policies, while beneficial, are not sufficient on their own to address all the disparities and challenges faced by different regions. A more targeted and flexible approach, informed by ongoing research and analysis, is essential for promoting balanced and sustainable economic development across the EU.

Future research will play a pivotal role in deepening our understanding of these dynamics and in developing more effective strategies for promoting economic convergence. Several key areas for future research include:

1. **Long-Term Impacts of Recent EU Policies**: Analyzing the long-term effects of recent EU initiatives, such as the Recovery and Resilience Facility, on convergence trends is crucial. This research should focus on how these policies influence economic performance over time, their impact on regional disparities, and their effectiveness in addressing the needs of different member states. Understanding these long-term effects will help refine and improve policy design and implementation.
2. **Role of Non-Economic Factors**: Investigating the influence of non-economic factors, such as political stability, social cohesion, and institutional quality, on economic convergence is important for a holistic understanding of the factors driving economic outcomes. These elements can significantly impact the ability of regions to implement and benefit from EU policies, and exploring their interactions with economic policies can provide valuable insights for policy development.

3. **Technological Advancements and Digital Transformation**: Exploring how technological advancements and digital transformation affect economic convergence is another critical area of research. Technological innovation can create new opportunities for growth but may also exacerbate existing disparities if different regions have varying levels of access to and benefit from these advancements. Understanding how technology impacts regional economic performance can inform strategies to leverage innovation for inclusive growth.
4. **Comparative Regional Studies**: Conducting comparative studies with other regional economic blocs, such as the African Union or ASEAN, can offer valuable insights into alternative approaches to economic integration and cohesion. By learning from the experiences of other regions, the EU can identify best practices and strategies that could enhance its own policies and support mechanisms.
5. **Impact of Global Economic Trends**: Examining how global economic trends, such as shifts in trade patterns, climate change, and geopolitical developments, influence regional convergence will be important in adapting EU policies to a changing global environment. Understanding these external factors can help the EU anticipate and address potential challenges to economic convergence.
6. **Policy Implementation and Effectiveness**: Studying the effectiveness of policy implementation at the national and regional levels will provide insights into the practical challenges and successes of EU policies. Evaluating how different member states apply and benefit from EU support can help refine strategies to ensure that policies are effectively targeted, managed, and adapted to local conditions.
7. **Impact of Demographic Changes**: Research into the impact of demographic changes, such as aging populations and migration patterns, on economic convergence is essential. Demographic shifts can influence labor markets, economic growth, and regional disparities, and understanding these effects can help develop policies that address demographic challenges and support balanced development.

By addressing these research areas, policymakers, scholars, and practitioners can develop more informed and effective strategies to promote economic convergence and address disparities within the EU. The goal is to foster a more balanced and inclusive economic environment where all member states have the opportunity to thrive and contribute to the overall prosperity of the Union. As the EU navigates its economic challenges and opportunities, ongoing research and policy innovation will be key to achieving a more equitable and sustainable future for all its member states.

References

1. Barro, R. J., & Sala-i-Martin, X. (1992). Convergence. *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(2), 223-251.
2. Quah, D. (1996). Empirics for economic growth and convergence. *European Economic Review*, 40(6), 1353-1375.
3. Monfort, P. (2008). Convergence of EU regions: Measures and evolution. *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, 1(1), 37-56.
4. Dauderstädt, M. (2019). Divergence, crisis, and the question of Eurozone cohesion. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 57(4), 893-909.
5. Eurostat. (2023). GDP per capita in PPS. [Online]. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat
6. World Bank. (2023). World Development Indicators. [Online]. Available at: https://data.worldbank.org/
7. Crescenzi, R., & Rodríguez-Pose, A. (2011). Innovation and regional growth in the EU: The role of local innovative capabilities, peripherality, and education. *Growth and Change*, 42(1), 34-62.
8. Solow, R. M. (1956). A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70(1), 65-94.
9. Barro, R. J. (1991). Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(2), 407-443.
10. Martin, R. (2001). EMU versus the regions? Regional convergence and divergence in Euroland. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 1(1), 51-80.
11. Marelli, E. (2007). Specialisation and convergence in European regions. *The European Journal of Comparative Economics*, 4(2), 149-178.
12. Sala-i-Martin, X. (1996). Regional cohesion: Evidence and theories of regional growth and convergence. *European Economic Review*, 40(6), 1325-1352.
13. Armstrong, H. W. (1995). Convergence among regions of the European Union, 1950–1990. *Papers in Regional Science*, 74(2), 143-152.

14. Fagerberg, J., Verspagen, B., & Caniëls, M. (1997). Technology, growth and unemployment across European regions. *Regional Studies*, 31(5), 457-466.
15. Boldrin, M., & Canova, F. (2001). Inequality and convergence in Europe's regions: Reconsidering European regional policies. *Economic Policy*, 16(32), 205-253.
16. Rodríguez-Pose, A. (1999). Innovation prone and innovation averse societies: Economic performance in Europe. *Growth and Change*, 30(1), 75-105.
17. Puga, D. (2002). European regional policies in light of recent location theories. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 2(4), 373-406.
18. Martin, P., & Ottaviano, G. I. P. (1999). Growing locations: Industry location in a model of endogenous growth. *European Economic Review*, 43(2), 281-302.
19. Krugman, P. (1991). Increasing returns and economic geography. *Journal of Political Economy*, 99(3), 483-499.
20. European Commission. (2020). Regional Convergence in the European Union: Progress and Challenges. [Online]. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/policy/evaluations/